# BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

**REGULAR MEETING** 

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: MAY 17, 2022

3 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2022-20

### INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
3. UPDATE ON PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND BUDGET REQUEST	5
4. DISCUSSION ABOUT PATIENT ASSISTANCE 5 FUND MODELS FOR DEPLOYMENT OF FUNDS	
5. NEXT STEPS	47
6. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
7. ADJOURNMENT	49

	DETH G. DIANN, CA CON NO. 7 132
1	MAY 17, 2022; 3 P.M.
2	
3	(THE MEETING WAS DULY CALLED TO ORDER
4	BY THE CHAIR AND THE ROLL WAS TAKEN AS FOLLOWS:)
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES BENEDETTI.
6	MR. TORRES: ART TORRES HERE. GO AHEAD.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: JAMES BENEDETTI.
8	MR. BENEDETTI: HERE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL. ANN BOYNTON.
10	MS. BOYNTON: HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: DANA DORNSIFE.
12	DR. DORNSIFE: HERE.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: DANA GOLDMAN.
14	DR. GOLDMAN: HERE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: TED GOLDSTEIN. DAVID
16	HIGGINS. HARLAN LEVINE.
17	DR. LEVINE: HERE.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: PAT LEVITT. ADRIANA
19	PADILLA.
20	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: AMMAR QADAN.
22	DR. QADAN: YES, I'M HERE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
24	MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: MAHESWARI SENTHIL.
	3
	3

	,
1	DR. SENTHIL: HERE.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL.
3	ADRIENNE SHAPIRO.
4	MS. SHAPIRO: HERE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: HERE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. THERE IS
8	QUORUM.
9	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10	WE HAVE A QUORUM.
11	SO I WANT TO WELCOME ALL OF OUR MEMBERS OF
12	OUR WORKING GROUP. WE SHOULD HAVE A PRETTY
13	EFFICIENT MEETING TODAY AS I DON'T WANT TO TAKE TOO
14	MUCH OF YOUR TIME BECAUSE I REALLY KNOW HOW BUSY
15	EACH OF YOU ARE.
16	WHAT I WANTED TO DO WAS TO PROCEED FOR AN
17	UPDATE ON OUR PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND BUDGET REQUEST
18	WHICH IS NOW BEING CONSIDERED ON THURSDAY BY THE
19	SENATE SUBCOMMITTEE NO. 2 OF OUR SENATE BUDGET
20	REVIEW COMMITTEE. AS YOU WILL FIND OUT, IT IS NOT
21	AN APPROPRIATION; SO, THEREFORE, IT MERELY REQUIRES
22	A VOTE OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE ENTIRE SECTION
23	WHICH DEALS WITH HEALTHCARE ALL OVER THE STATE OF
24	CALIFORNIA AND THE STATE BUDGET AND, THEREFORE, WILL
25	MOVE FORWARD WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE AS WE

1	TAKE THE STEPS TO MAKE THIS A REALITY FOR PATIENTS.
2	SO I'D LIKE TO CALL UPON OUR VICE
3	PRESIDENT OF MEDICAL AFFAIRS AND POLICY, SEAN
4	TURBEVILLE, TO PLEASE PROCEED.
5	DR. TURBEVILLE: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU,
6	SENATOR TORRES. AS SENATOR TORRES SAID, MY NAME IS
7	SEAN TURBEVILLE. I'M THE VICE PRESIDENT OF MEDICAL
8	AFFAIRS, AND I AM HERE TO REPORT ON A NUMBER OF
9	PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PATHWAYS THAT I WILL
10	PRESENT TO THE TEAM AND THEN HOPEFULLY AT THE END
11	GET SOME GUIDANCE FROM YOU IN TERMS OF WHICH
12	TRAJECTORY WE'D LIKE TO TAKE.
13	SO SOME BACKGROUND FIRST. SO PROPOSITION
14	14 SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED THAT REVENUE SPECIFIED
15	RECEIVED SHALL BE DEPOSITED INTO AN INTEREST BEARING
16	ACCOUNT IN THE GENERAL FUND LICENSING AND REVENUE
17	FUND WITH THOSE AMOUNTS TO BE SPENT ON OFFSETTING
18	THE COST OF PROVIDING TREATMENTS AND CURES ARISING
19	FROM INSTITUTE-FUNDED RESEARCH TO CALIFORNIA
20	PATIENTS WHO HAVE INSUFFICIENT MEANS TO PURCHASE
21	SUCH TREATMENT OR CURE, INCLUDING THE REIMBURSEMENT
22	OF PATIENT-QUALIFIED COSTS FOR RESEARCH
23	PARTICIPANTS.
24	AT THE FEBRUARY 9TH AAWG MEETING, WHICH
25	MANY OF YOU ATTENDED, THE GROUP RECOMMENDED THAT

1	CIRM REQUEST ALLOCATION OF THE EXISTING REVENUES IN
2	THE LICENSING AND REVENUE FUND TO DEVELOP A CIRM
3	PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. YOU RECOMMENDED TWO
4	THINGS. ONE, CIRM STAFF TO BRING PROPOSED OPTIONS
5	FOR THE CIRM'S PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO THE
6	AAWG AND CAN BE DEVELOPED INTO A CONCEPT PLAN; AND,
7	TWO, THAT CIRM PURSUE THE BEST PROCESS TO ASSESS THE
8	FUNDS FOR THE 2022-2023 FISCAL YEAR IN ORDER TO
9	INITIATE A PROGRAM ONCE THE AAWG RECOMMENDED THE
10	CONCEPT AND HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE INDEPENDENT
11	CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, ICOC.
12	SO AN UPDATE. IN APRIL CIRM SUBMITTED A
13	BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL, BCP, TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
14	FINANCE TO AUTHORIZE THE 15.6 MILLION FOR THE
15	CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
16	LICENSING REVENUES AND ROYALTIES FUND FOR PATIENT
17	ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO BE SPENT OVER FIVE YEARS. THE
18	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE APPROVED THE BCP FOR INCLUSION
19	IN THE GOVERNOR'S REVISED BUDGET WHICH IS UNDER
20	REVIEW AS OF TODAY. AND CIRM HAS IDENTIFIED THREE
21	OPTIONS WHICH I'LL TALK ABOUT IN A FEW MINUTES.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANT
23	TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE
24	UNDERSTAND WHERE THIS MONEY IS COMING FROM.
25	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. CERTAINLY. I

1	THOUGHT THAT WAS
2	MR. TORRES: JUST EXPLAIN WHERE THE 15.6
3	MILLION IS COMING FROM.
4	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. SO THE 15.6
5	MILLION IS A RESOURCE THAT WAS GENERATED FROM, TO BE
6	GRANULAR, THE SALE OF ONE PARTICULAR BIOTECH ASSET
7	FROM STANFORD OUT TO A PRIVATE INDUSTRY. AND SO
8	THAT WAS ON THE BACK, AND THOSE ROYALTIES WERE
9	CONSIDERED THE ROYALTIES THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING NOW.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRES: AS AUTHORIZED BY THE
11	PROPOSITION OR THE INITIATIVE SO THAT WHENEVER THOSE
12	KINDS OF ROYALTIES ARE AVAILABLE, THEY HAVE TO BE
13	TRANSFERRED TO THE GENERAL FUND OF THE STATE OF
14	CALIFORNIA UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF OUR ACT AND
15	NEGOTIATIONS. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
16	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU. I HOPE WE
17	HAVE MORE OF THEM.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WE WILL. WE WILL.
19	DR. TURBEVILLE: VERY GOOD. OKAY.
20	SO THE PATIENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM IS ONE
21	COMPONENT OF A FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN. I CONSIDER
22	THIS SORT OF LOW HANGING FRUIT TO BE HONEST WITH
23	YOU. THERE'S A MUCH LARGER PLAN THAT MARIA AND
24	SENATOR TORRES AND THE TEAM WILL PRESENT DOWN THE
25	ROAD; BUT THIS, WHEN I FIRST CAME ON BOARD, WHAT,
	7
	<b>'</b>

1	THREE MONTHS DEEP, THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT WE DO
2	IN INDUSTRY, BACK WHEN I WAS IN THE INDUSTRY DAYS,
3	THAT I THINK WOULD RESONATE WITH CIRM, WOULD
4	RESONATE WITH CALIFORNIANS. AND THAT'S WHY I WANT
5	TO PRESENT SOME OF THESE METHODS TO YOU TODAY.
6	SO I AM GOING TO DESCRIBE THREE SUPPORT
7	PROGRAM OPTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH FINANCIAL
8	HARDSHIPS OR BARRIERS TO PARTICIPATING IN
9	CIRM-SUPPORTED CLINICAL TRIALS. AND AFTER I PRESENT
10	THIS, I'M HOPING THAT THE AAWG WILL RECOMMEND A
11	LEAD, ONE OF THE THREE, OPTIONS FOR FURTHER SCOPING
12	AND DEVELOPMENT AND SUBSEQUENT PRESENTATION FOR
13	FINAL APPROVAL BY THE ICOC.
14	SO LET'S BACK UP A LITTLE BIT. WHEN WE
15	TALK ABOUT ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY ISSUES IN
16	CLINICAL TRIALS, THERE'S A NUMBER OF WAYS THAT WE
17	CAN APPROACH THIS. AND THIS IS SPECIFICALLY FOR
18	CLINICAL TRIALS. SO I KNOW ACCESSIBILITY AND
19	AFFORDABILITY COVERS A WIDE GAMUT, ALL THE WAY FROM
20	WHO GETS INTO OUR CLINICAL TRIALS, WHO STAYS IN OUR
21	CLINICAL TRIALS, WHO GETS ACCESS TO A COMMERCIAL
22	THERAPY, ET CETERA.
23	I WANT TO START ALL THE WAY AT THE
24	BEGINNING. AND, IN FACT, WHAT YOU'RE SEEING IN THE
25	LITERATURE RIGHT NOW IS A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT

1	PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES STARTING MUCH EARLIER, SORT
2	OF THAT DRUG DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, PHASE 1, PHASE 2,
3	AS OPPOSED AND GIVING GUIDANCE AND INSIGHT AS
4	OPPOSED TO STARTING MUCH LATER WHEN YOU'RE ALMOST AT
5	THAT COMMERCIAL SORT OF LINE WHERE YOU GET THE LACK
6	PRICE, AND ALL OF A SUDDEN THERE YOU'RE STARTING TO
7	NEGOTIATE WITH PAYORS. IT'S A LITTLE TOO LATE.
8	THERE'S LOTS OF DIFFERENT METHODOLOGIES.
9	AND ONE OF THE METHODOLOGIES THAT I WANT
10	TO PRESENT TODAY IS HOW, ONE, CAN WE GET UNDERSERVED
11	PATIENTS ENROLLED INTO CIRM-FUNDED TRIALS; AND, TWO,
12	HOW CAN WE HELP THEM STAY ENROLLED. NOW, THIS IS AN
13	ISSUE THAT'S JUST NOT NEW TO CIRM. IT'S BEEN AN
14	ISSUE WITH INDUSTRY. IT'S AN ISSUE WITH ACADEMIA.
15	IT'S VERY COMPETITIVE WITH CLINICAL TRIALS. MANY OF
16	YOUR CLINICIANS KNOW THAT. YEAH, SO IT'S SOMETHING
17	THAT'S REALLY COME TO THE FOREFRONT OF A LOT OF
18	INDUSTRY AS WELL AS ACADEMIA.
19	SO WHEN WE THINK ABOUT RECRUITMENT ISSUES,
20	RIGHT, IF WE GO TO THE UNDERSERVED POPULATION, THE
21	RECRUITMENT CHALLENGES, THERE'S MULTIPLE, MULTIPLE
22	RECRUITMENT CHALLENGES. A LITTLE STATISTIC.
23	NINETEEN PERCENT OF REGISTERED CLINICAL TRIALS ARE
24	TERMINATED DUE TO THE FAILURE TO REACH EXPECTED
25	ENROLLMENTS.

1	WHEN WE MOVE ON TO THE RETENTION SIDE, 80
2	PERCENT OF TRIALS FAIL TO FINISH ON TIME DESPITE
3	SUBJECT RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION EFFORTS.
4	FURTHERMORE, 85 PERCENT OF CLINICAL TRIALS FAIL TO
5	RECRUIT AND RETAIN ENOUGH SUBJECTS TO MEET
6	ENROLLMENT TIMELINES.
7	SO THIS IS A CHALLENGE OF THE WHOLE
8	INDUSTRY, ALL RESEARCHERS. I THINK CIRM CAN PROPOSE
9	ONE OR TWO CONCEPTS THAT MIGHT IMPACT THIS DIRECTLY
10	WITH THE PROPOSALS THAT I'M GOING TO TALK TO IN A
11	FEW MINUTES.
12	SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT BARRIERS THAT MUST
13	BE OVERCOME TO ACHIEVE BROAD, EQUITABLE ACCESS TO
14	REGENERATIVE MEDICINES, THERE'S NUMEROUS. BUT IF
15	YOU REVIEW THE LITERATURE, I THINK MOST OF YOU
16	WOULD, INCLUDING MYSELF, CATEGORIZE IT INTO FIVE
17	MAJOR BARRIERS. THERE'S CULTURAL AND SOCIAL
18	DETERMINANTS, THERE'S INFORMATIONAL DETERMINANTS,
19	THERE'S LOGISTICAL BARRIERS, THERE'S FINANCIAL
20	BARRIERS, AND THERE'S ABILITY-BASED BARRIERS. AND
21	WHEN I TALKED ABOUT EARLIER SORT OF THE LOW HANGING
22	FRUIT OF WHERE WE CAN MAKE A DELTA FOR PATIENTS
23	RIGHT OUT OF THE GATE, THERE'S THREE OF THESE.
24	BUT TO BACK UP, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT
25	CULTURAL AND SOCIAL DETERMINANTS, AS YOU PROBABLY

1	ALREADY KNOW, JUST TO REVIEW REAL QUICKLY, LOWER
2	ENROLLMENT FOR MINORITIES, SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS,
3	UNEMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION, POPULATION SIZE, THE STIGMA
4	OF DISEASE WE ALL KNOW UNIVARIATELY AND
5	MULTIVARIATELY IMPACT ACCRUAL.
6	INFORMATIONAL: PHYSICIAN LOW REFERRAL
7	RATE. THEY'RE NOT GETTING ALL THE INFORMATION. AND
8	THAT'S PARTICULARLY TRUE FOR GENE THERAPY OR
9	GENE-EDITED TRIALS. AND THERE'S STILL THIS MEDICAL
10	MISTRUST ABOUT MISINFORMATION, WHICH IS A MUCH
11	BIGGER OBSTACLE, TO BE HONEST WITH YOU, IN THIS
12	DISCUSSION HERE.
13	LOGISTICAL: BELIEVE IT OR NOT, LACK OF
14	RELIABLE TRANSPORTATION IS STILL A MAJOR ISSUE.
15	LANGUAGE BARRIERS. WORK OR CHILDCARE
16	REQUIREMENTS. I MEAN WE DIDN'T THINK IT WAS SUCH A
17	BIG ISSUE UNTIL WE SAW COVID, RIGHT? THAT WAS A BIG
18	IMPACT FACTOR FOR PATIENTS JUST TRYING TO GO OUT AND
19	GET THEIR VACCINE, LET ALONE CONTRIBUTE TO A
20	CLINICAL TRIAL.
21	FINANCIAL: COST OF REGENERATIVE
22	MEDICINES, GENE AND CELL THERAPIES, INSURANCE
23	BENEFITS MAY INCLUDE HIGH COPAYS AND LIFETIME
24	BENEFITS.
25	NOW, THIS IS JUST STARTING TO PLAY OUT ON

1	THE PAYOR SIDE. AND I KNOW I HAVE SOME PAYOR
2	EXPERTS ON THE CALL. WE ARE STILL LEARNING ABOUT
3	THE PAY FOR PERFORMANCE MODELS. I'M NOT GOING TO
4	ADDRESS HOW WE CAN IMPACT THAT TODAY, BUT DOWN THE
5	ROAD WE WILL HAVE SOME GUIDANCE ON THE POLICY SIDE
6	ON WHICH WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE AN IMPACT FOR
7	PATIENTS IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA.
8	AND THEN THERE'S ABILITY BASED:
9	PARTICIPATION FOR THE LIMITED, FOR ELDERLY, THE
10	ADOLESCENTS, YOUNG ADULTS, DISABLED, ET CETERA.
11	SO I THINK THIS IS A GOOD REPRESENTATION
12	OF THE FACTORS THAT DO CONTRIBUTE THAT MUST BE
13	OVERCOME TO ACHIEVE BROAD, EQUITABLE ACCESS TO
14	REGENERATIVE MEDICINES.
15	NOW, WHAT CAN WE DO? THERE'S THREE AREAS
16	WHERE I WANT TO FOCUS ON: INFORMATIONAL,
17	LOGISTICAL, AND FINANCIAL. I MENTION THE LOW
18	HANGING FRUIT, AND FOR SOMEBODY WHO HAS BEEN IN THE
19	INDUSTRY AS LONG AS I HAVE, THIS ACTUALLY GETS ME
20	PRETTY FIRED UP BECAUSE I DON'T THINK PEOPLE HAVE
21	APPROACHED THESE THREE VARIABLES WITH ENOUGH
22	HORSEPOWER TO THE POINT WHERE YOU ACTUALLY CAN MAKE
23	A DIFFERENCE FOR PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY THOSE IN THE
24	UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES.
25	SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS IN A LITTLE BIT

1	MORE DETAIL. FOR BACKGROUND, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF
2	ITEMS TYPICALLY REIMBURSED OR SUPPORTED TODAY IN
3	CLINICAL TRIALS. AND MANY OF YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH
4	THIS. SO TRAVEL EXPENSES ARE REIMBURSABLE, RIGHT.
5	THE ACCOMMODATIONS ARE REIMBURSABLE. MEALS,
6	CHILDCARE, OUT-OF-POCKET HEALTHCARE EXPENSES,
7	ANCILLARY HEALTHCARE EXPENSES. I'LL COME BACK TO
8	THIS BECAUSE WE ARE FINDING SOME NEW INFORMATION
9	JUST RECENTLY ON GENE THERAPY, CAR-T THERAPIES,
10	WHERE THAT'S STARTING TO BE AN OUTLIER WITH RESPECT
11	TO THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S REQUIRED FOR THOSE
12	ANCILLARY CARES.
13	AND THEN I DID PUT COMMERCIAL COPAY
14	ASSISTANCE HERE. WE ARE NOT GETTING INTO THAT SPACE
15	RIGHT NOW. I'M SUGGESTING PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES
16	THAT WOULD OBVIOUSLY PROVIDE SUPPORT MUCH EARLIER IN
17	THAT DRUG DEVELOPMENT PROCESS. WE WOULD, I JUST
18	WANT YOU TO PUT THIS IN YOUR BACK POCKET, WE WOULD
19	HAVE THE ABILITY SOMEHOW, WHEN WE ARE READY, TO
20	TURNKEY AND AT LEAST PROVIDE SOME GUIDANCE, EVEN
21	INSIGHTS, EVEN RESEARCH INTO WHAT ARE THE PITFALLS
22	FOR THE PATIENTS WHO ARE ACTUALLY TRANSITIONING OVER
23	TO COMMERCIAL DRUGS. RIGHT.
24	NOW, INDUSTRY TYPICALLY DOES A REALLY GOOD
25	JOB OF THAT; BUT IN FACT, IF ONE OF THESE PROGRAMS

1	DOES GO IN PLAY, YOU CAN IMAGINE THE AMOUNT OF DATA
2	THAT WE GET TO COLLECT UP AND TO THE POINT OF
3	MARKETING AUTHORIZATION. THAT CAN BE USED IN A
4	REALLY CREATIVE LIGHT.
5	THERE ARE TWO CONCEPTS HERE THAT I'VE NOT
6	LISTED THAT ARE SLIGHTLY CONTROVERSIAL, AND I'M
7	GOING TO BRING THEM UP BECAUSE THEY'RE USED. ONE IS
8	THERE IS A LOSS OF INCOME REIMBURSEMENT THAT IS
9	TAKING PLACE. AND THIS ISN'T JUST IN THE UNITED
10	STATES. IF YOU THINK ABOUT MANY OF THESE TRIALS,
11	THEY ASK A LOT. THEY ASK A LOT OF PARENTS. THEY
12	ASK A LOT OF CHILDREN. MANY OF THEM ARE A NUMBER OF
13	DIFFERENT LINES OF THERAPY, AND MANY OF THEM ARE
14	CARE PROVIDERS FOR THE FAMILY. SO SURPRISINGLY THIS
15	IS STARTING TO COME UP. IT IS A LITTLE BIT
16	CONTROVERSIAL. THERE ARE MANY ORGANIZATIONS THAT
17	ARE COMPENSATING FOR LOST REVENUE ON THE WORK SIDE.
18	AND IF YOU BENCHMARK THIS TO EUROPE, IT IS ACTUALLY
19	MANDATED IN MANY COUNTRIES WHERE THOSE FAMILIES OR
20	THAT PARTICIPANT DOES GET COMPENSATED FOR THE LOSS
21	OF WORK IN PARTICIPATING IN A TRIAL.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRES: LET ME BE VERY CLEAR ON
23	THAT POINT. THE INITIATIVE SPECIFICALLY PROHIBITS
24	EXPRESS COMPENSATING FOR RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS. WE
25	CANNOT DO THAT.

1	DR. TURBEVILLE: FAIR ENOUGH. WANTED TO
2	JUST THROW IT OUT THERE SO THAT IT IS IN THE PUBLIC
3	DOMAIN. SO THANK YOU, SENATOR.
4	DR. GOLDMAN: CAN YOU CLARIFY, ART, WHY
5	THE INITIATIVE PREVENTS THAT?
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THE LANGUAGE OF THE
7	BALLOT INITIATIVE PASSED BY THE VOTERS IN NOVEMBER
8	2020 SPECIFICALLY PROHIBITS COMPENSATING RESEARCH
9	PARTICIPANTS. EXPENSES ARE FINE. ALL THE EXPENSES
10	THAT ARE LISTED HERE ARE FINE. GENERAL COUNSEL HAS
11	OPINED WITH ME AS LATE AS LAST WEEK, BECAUSE I
12	WANTED TO CHECK ON IT TO MAKE SURE, AND THAT IS THE
13	PROHIBITION THAT IS IN THE CONSTITUTION AND
14	THEREFORE IN THE INITIATIVE.
15	DR. GOLDMAN: I SEE. I JUST WANT TO POINT
16	OUT WE RELEASED OUR NATIONAL ACADEMY OF MEDICINE
17	REPORT TODAY ON IMPROVING REPRESENTATION IN CLINICAL
18	TRIALS. AND ONE OF THE THINGS WE ARGUED AS A
19	RECOMMENDATION THERE WAS TO IMPROVE REMUNERATION.
20	AND IT'S NOT CLEAR TO ME WHICH WAY SOMETHING LIKE
21	LOST WAGES FALLS IN TERMS OF THAT. BUT THAT PART OF
22	THE INITIATIVE IS BEHIND WHERE THE SCIENCE IS
23	ACCORDING TO OUR NATIONAL ACADEMY.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES. THE VOTERS ARE
25	BEHIND, RIGHT.

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART, ADRIENNE HAS HER
2	HAND RAISED.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I'M SORRY. ADRIENNE.
4	MS. SHAPIRO: BASED ON THAT, THERE'S A
5	REAL PROBLEM FOR THE CAREGIVER. WOULD CAREGIVER
6	FINANCING BE A PART OF THIS?
7	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES. WE ANTICIPATE THAT
8	THOSE KINDS OF EXPENSES WOULD BE INCLUDED TO BE
9	REIMBURSED, LIKE TRAVEL, LIKE ACCOMMODATION, LIKE
10	MEALS, AND CHILDCARE, WHICH IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE AS
11	WELL AS MANY OF OUR PATIENTS NEED CHILDCARE, THAT
12	WOULD BE ALSO OUT-OF-POCKET HEALTHCARE EXPENSES
13	WHICH WOULD INCLUDE THE CAREGIVER.
14	MS. SHAPIRO: SO JUST TO BE CLEAR, ARE WE
15	PAYING FOR THE CAREGIVER'S TIME WHICH THEY ARE
16	LOOKING AFTER THE SUBJECT?
17	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WE REALLY CAN'T ANSWER
18	THAT SPECIFICALLY YET.
19	MS. SHAPIRO: OKAY.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OKAY. HAVE TO WAIT A
21	LITTLE BIT. BUT ALL I CAN SAY WITH CERTAINTY IS
22	THAT THE RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS CANNOT BE REIMBURSED
23	FOR LOST WAGES.
24	MS. SHAPIRO: I UNDERSTAND THAT. THANK
25	YOU.

1	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU.
2	DR. TURBEVILLE: AND AGAIN, I BRING IT UP.
3	I KNOW IT'S SENSITIVE, BUT IT IS OUT THERE AND IT'S
4	GOT QUITE A BIT OF MOMENTUM, AT LEAST IN THE PUBLIC
5	DOMAIN. SO THANK YOU.
6	ONE OF THE THINGS THAT'S ALSO INTERESTING
7	THAT WE ARE LEARNING ABOUT, AND MANY OF YOU ON HERE
8	MAY HAVE LAUNCHED A GENE THERAPY TRIAL OR ACTUALLY
9	AN APPROVED PRODUCT, THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT IF
LO	YOU TALK TO SOMEBODY FROM CLINICAL OPERATIONS, WHAT
L1	WE ARE HEARING FROM COMPANIES OUT THERE WHO DO HAVE
L2	A GENE THERAPY IS THEY WAY UNDERESTIMATED THE COST
L3	OF RUNNING A TRIAL BY AS MUCH AS 50 PERCENT. AND
L4	THAT'S NOT NECESSARILY BECAUSE OF COG'S. IT'S
L5	BECAUSE OF THE ANCILLARY SERVICES THAT ARE REQUIRED
L6	TO TAKE CARE OF THE PATIENTS.
L7	SO JUST TO GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, IN A CAR-T
L8	INVESTIGATIONAL THERAPY, EVERY INSTITUTION REQUIRES
L9	A DIFFERENT PROTOCOL, RIGHT. EVEN THOUGH THERE MAY
20	BE SOMETHING IN THE MANUFACTURER'S PROTOCOL THAT
21	SAYS, HEY, THREE DAYS IS SUFFICIENT AND AFTER THREE
22	DAYS YOU CAN MONITOR FROM X, Y, AND Z. EVERY
23	ORGANIZATION'S INSTITUTIONAL PROTOCOL MAY SAY 15
24	DAYS IN-HOUSE WITH THE HOSPITAL, MONITORED, RIGHT,
25	WITH A FAMILY MEMBER, AND THEN A SUBSEQUENT 15 DAYS
	17

1	AFTER THAT BEING MONITORED WITH A FAMILY MEMBER TWO
2	HOURS FROM THE ORIGINAL POINT OF ADMINISTRATION.
3	AND I THINK ITEMS LIKE THAT THAT ARE JUST NOW COMING
4	TO FRUITION ARE WHAT ARE STARTING TO INCREMENTALLY
5	INCREASE THOSE COSTS. THOSE ARE EXAMPLES AS WELL.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU, SEAN. I KNOW
7	THAT DANA DORNSIFE WANTED TO COMMENT ON ISSUES
8	REGARDING THIS AREA; ISN'T THAT CORRECT, DANA?
9	DR. DORNSIFE: YEAH. SO LAZAREX CANCER
10	FOUNDATION HAS BEEN INVOLVED IN REIMBURSING PATIENTS
11	TO THE TUNE OF LIKE 7,000 PATIENTS SINCE 2006. I
12	WOULD SAY, IN THIS PARTICULAR SLIDE, THE ITEMS THAT
13	ARE TYPICALLY REIMBURSED, SOME TRAVEL EXPENSES, SOME
14	ARE, BUT THEY'RE NOT TYPICALLY REIMBURSED. THE
15	OTHER THINGS YOU HAVE LISTED HERE, YES, ABSOLUTELY
16	ONE OF THE BIGGEST BARRIERS WE FACE IS COMPROMISED
17	PERFORMANCE STATUS FROM THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE.
18	AND SO THEY REALLY DO REQUIRE A TRAVEL COMPANION IN
19	MANY INSTANCES IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN
20	A CLINICAL TRIAL.
21	IN ADDITION TO CHILDCARE NOW, WE ALSO HAVE
22	ISSUES AROUND ELDER CARE IF SOMEONE IS RESPONSIBLE
23	TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR ELDERLY PARENTS. AND THEN WE
24	ALSO HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THE DIGITAL DIVIDE AS WELL
25	WHERE, IF WE ARE USING TELEMEDICINE AND IF

1	TECHNOLOGY IS REALLY REQUIRED FOR A PATIENT TO BE
2	COMPLIANT AND PARTICIPATE FOR MANY OF OUR RESIDENTS
3	OF COMMUNITIES OF COLOR, THEY SIMPLY DON'T HAVE
4	ACCESS. AND SO WE ARE TAKING A LOOK NOW AT
5	PROVIDING WI-FI OR HOT SPOTS TO GET THEM THE ACCESS
6	THAT THEY NEED TO TECHNOLOGY SO THAT THEY CAN
7	PARTICIPATE.
8	THE OTHER THING I WILL SAY IS THAT
9	MORE THE TYPE OF INSURANCE OR LACK OF INSURANCE
10	THAT PATIENTS HAVE, ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO
11	INCREASE DIVERSITY, IS REALLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE
12	TYPE OF INSURANCE YOU HAVE IN MANY INSTANCES
13	RELEGATES YOU TO BEING SEEN AT A PARTICULAR
14	INSTITUTION. AND THE VAST MAJORITY OF THOSE
15	INSTITUTIONS DO NOT OFFER CLINICAL TRIALS. THEY DO
16	NOT. AND SO THAT IS AN ABSOLUTE DETERMINANT FOR A
17	PATIENT WHO IS IN NEED OF OR WOULD BENEFIT BY
18	PARTICIPATING IN A CLINICAL TRIAL.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU, DANA.
20	TO GIVE YOU ALL THE ORIGIN OF THIS
21	LANGUAGE, IT CAME FROM MY EXPERIENCE AS VICE CHAIR
22	OF THE ONE LEGACY ORGAN TRANSPLANT FOUNDATION. AND
23	SO WHEN BOB KLEIN AND I AND JAMES HARRISON PUT OUR
24	HEADS TOGETHER AS TO THE FACT THAT I REALLY FELT
25	THIS WAS A NEED THAT NEEDED TO BE INCORPORATED INTO

1	THE INITIATIVE, IT CAME FROM WHAT WE DO THERE. ONE
2	OF THE ORGANIZATIONS THAT WE FUND IS THE AVA
3	FOUNDATION FOR HEART TRANSPLANT PATIENTS. AND SO
4	ONE LEGACY PROVIDES FUNDING FOR THAT FOUNDATION,
5	WHICH WAS JUST NAMED A CNN HERO, TO MAKE SURE THAT
6	HEART TRANSPLANT PATIENTS THAT NEED TO COME TO LOS
7	ANGELES FOR A HEART ORGAN TRANSPLANT HAVE THE NEEDED
8	SUPPORT.
9	SO I THINK THOSE ARE VERY IMPORTANT POINTS
10	THAT YOU RAISE, DANA.
11	AND I KNOW THAT ERIC KENTOR FROM ALS
12	WANTED TO MAKE A COMMENT AS WELL. ERIC. NANCY
13	RENICK.
14	MR. KENTOR: SORRY, SENATOR TORRES, THIS
15	IS ERIC. I WAS TRYING TO UNMUTE.
16	A LOT OF WHAT YOU GUYS ARE TALKING ABOUT
17	RESONATES NOT NECESSARILY MY PERSONAL SITUATION, BUT
18	MY OBSERVATIONS. I THINK FIRST OF ALL, THANK YOU
19	FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY. AND I'D LIKE TO JUST BEGIN
20	WITH A LITTLE SNIPPET.
21	IN THE SPRING OF 2020, I WAS DIAGNOSED
22	WITH AMYOTROPHIC LATERAL SCLEROSIS, WHICH IS ALS OR
23	LOU GEHRIG'S DISEASE. AND LIKE 90 PERCENT OF THE
24	PATIENTS, I HAVE NO FAMILY HISTORY. SO DIAGNOSIS
25	WAS REALLY A SHOCK, AND IT IS A BRUTAL NEUROMUSCULAR

1	DISEASE
2	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES.
3	MR. KENTOR: AS YOU, FOLKS, I'M SURE,
4	ALL WELL NO, CHARACTERIZED BY PROGRESSIVE
5	DEGENERATION OF THE NERVE CELLS IN THE BRAIN.
6	SPINAL CORD RAVAGES THE BODY. AND WE ARE TYPICALLY
7	NOT DIAGNOSED FOR BETWEEN SIX AND 18 MONTHS THAT IT
8	TAKES TO ACTUALLY DIAGNOSE IT. BEING DIAGNOSED WITH
9	ALS IS A DEATH SENTENCE AS IT IS TODAY 100 PERCENT
10	FATAL, AND THERE'S NO ONE CURE WITH THE LIFE
11	EXPECTANCY BEING TWO TO FIVE YEARS. SO THERE'S A
12	PARTICULAR URGENCY, I THINK, THAT ALS PATIENTS FEEL.
13	AND ALS, AT LEAST THIS ALS PATIENT,
14	DESPERATELY WANTED TO PARTICIPATE IN A CLINICAL
15	TRIAL FOR ME TO PARTICIPATE IN, AND I THINK FOR
16	SEVERAL OTHER FOLKS IN MY SITUATION IS OFTEN A MOST
17	DIFFICULT CHALLENGE.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES.
19	MR. KENTOR: AND I THINK FURTHER TO THE
20	COMMENTS THAT ALL OF YOU HAVE BEEN MAKING, I AM AN
21	UPPER CLASS, EDUCATED PROFESSIONAL WHO ACTIVELY
22	SOUGHT OUT AND SWITCHED INSTITUTIONS FROM WHERE I
23	WAS ORIGINALLY BEING TREATED FOR THE SPECIFIC
24	PURPOSE OF TRYING TO SEEK OUT A CLINICAL TRIAL. I
25	LOOKED AT OTHER OPPORTUNITIES AND ACTUALLY STARTED

1	DOWN THE ROUTE OF ONE PROMISING THERAPEUTIC FOR
2	WHICH, REGRETTABLY, THE FDA IS AGONIZINGLY SLOW IN
3	APPROVING, BUT HAS TWO COMPOUNDS THAT ARE OTHERWISE
4	COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE. AND SOME ALS PATIENTS ARE
5	ACTUALLY MIXING THEIR OWN COCKTAIL, TAKING THAT, AND
6	PAYING OUT OF POCKET FOR IT.
7	I WAS FORTUNATELY ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN A
8	CLINICAL TRIAL, AND I'M GOING DOWN THAT ROAD. BUT
9	PARTICIPATION DOES REQUIRE A CONSIDERABLE COMMITMENT
10	OF MY TIME AND THAT OF MY WIFE WHO IS MY PRIMARY
11	CAREGIVER. SO I THINK ALL OF THE COMMENTARY THAT
12	HAS BEEN MADE ALREADY RESONATES WITH ME. IN OUR
13	SITUATION, WE ARE ABLE TO HANDLE THAT, BUT I'M SURE
14	IT'S A CHALLENGE THAT OTHER PEOPLE WHO AREN'T AS
15	FORTUNATE HAVE TROUBLE WITH.
16	SO I THINK THE EFFORT TO AGGRESSIVELY
17	PURSUE A DIVERSE SOCIOECONOMIC PROFILE OF PATIENTS
18	TO GIVE THEM A CHANCE TO PARTICIPATE IN THESE TRIALS
19	WOULD BE A GREAT SERVICE TO THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY OF
20	CALIFORNIA, THE MEDICAL COMMUNITIES, AND OBVIOUSLY
21	THOSE COMMUNITIES. AND I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO
22	THAT, PROVIDING AS MUCH SUPPORT AS YOU CAN. ALS,
23	AGAIN ON MY SIDE OF THE WORLD, IT'S RARE, BUT IT'S
24	NOT AS RARE AS I HAD ORIGINALLY THOUGHT.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRES: RIGHT.
	22

1	MR. KENTOR: AND WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF
2	TIME. WE USUALLY DON'T SURVIVE LONG ENOUGH TO
3	ADVOCATE AGGRESSIVELY FOR RESEARCH. SO I'M REALLY
4	GRATEFUL THAT I HAVE THAT OPPORTUNITY TODAY, BUT
5	MUCH, MUCH MORE NEEDS TO BE DONE. IT'S AN
6	EMOTIONAL, PHYSICAL, AND SOMETIMES HIDDEN
7	FINANCIAL BURDEN AND CHALLENGE UNLIKE ANYTHING I'VE
8	EVER SEEN BEFORE. AND THE EXTENT TO WHICH YOU CAN
9	TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE FINANCIAL BURDEN THAT COMES
10	WITH THAT IN THOSE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE MUCH LESS
11	AS I AM FORTUNATE TO HAVE, I THINK THAT WOULD ENDOW
12	TO EVERYONE'S BENEFIT.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU.
14	MR. KENTOR: THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO
15	ME. MORE IMPORTANTLY, THANK YOU FOR THE WORK YOU'RE
16	DOING FOR CALIFORNIA AND FOR THE WORLD ACTUALLY.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I'M GOING TO LISTEN TO
18	YOU MORE, ERIC, BECAUSE I HAVE BEEN, AS A CANCER
19	SURVIVOR, COLON CANCER SURVIVOR, BUT ALSO AS A VERY,
20	VERY CLOSE FRIEND. I HAVE BEEN INTIMATELY INVOLVED
21	WITH TWO FRIENDS WHO HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH ALS.
22	SO I PERSONALLY KNOW WHAT YOU'VE BEEN, NOT YOU HAVE
23	BEEN GOING THROUGH, BUT WHAT SOMEONE DOES HAVE TO GO
24	THROUGH WITH THIS DISEASE. SO YOU WILL HAVE AN
25	ADVOCATE IN ME.
	22

-	
1	WE WANT TO HEAR NOW FROM NANCY RENICK FROM
2	SICKLE CELL. IS SHE AVAILABLE? THANKS AGAIN, ERIC.
3	MR. KENTOR: THANK YOU, SIR.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRES: NANCY RENICK.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: I THINK SHE'S ON MUTE.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OKAY. WE'LL COME BACK
7	TO HER.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: OKAY. GREAT.
9	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. I WILL CONTINUE.
10	SO NOW WE'RE GOING TO GET INTO SOME MODELS,
11	PROPOSALS FOR YOU GUYS. SO I AM GOING TO PROPOSE
12	THREE PATHWAYS TO SUPPORT PATIENT ASSISTANCE FUND.
13	AND THIS REALLY DOES COME OUT OF A COMBINATION OF
14	INDUSTRY, HAVING LAUNCHED MANY OF THESE PROGRAMS IN
15	INDUSTRY AND INCLUDING IN ALS, BELIEVE IT OR NOT,
16	ALL THE WAY TO PATIENT ADVOCACY AS WELL ON THAT
17	SIDE.
18	SO THE THREE PATHWAYS I WANT TO TALK ABOUT
19	ARE AN INDUSTRY MODEL I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT IN
20	MORE DETAIL AN ADVOCACY/CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION
21	MODEL, AND AN ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT MODEL.
22	AND, AGAIN, THESE ARE THREE PATHWAYS WHERE WE COULD
23	START PICKING AWAY, IF YOU WILL, AT THE
24	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WHEN IT COMES TO
25	PARTICIPATING IN CLINICAL TRIALS.
	2.4

1	SO THE FIRST PATHWAY IS THE ESTABLISHED
2	INDUSTRY SUPPORT MODEL. NOW, WHAT DO I MEAN BY
3	THAT? SO I WANT YOU WE ARE TALKING SMALL
4	BIOTECH, AND THERE'S A STORY HERE. SO WE ARE NOT
5	TALKING ABOUT THE PFIZERS OF THE WORLD OR THE
6	SANOFIS OF THE WORLD. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT REALLY
7	NICHEY BIOTECHS HERE IN THE BAY AREA, BOSTON,
8	GLOBALLY WHO HAVE ESTABLISHED OR CONTRACTED OUT WITH
9	CALL CENTERS WITH HIGHLY TRAINED NURSES TO SUPPORT
10	PATIENTS ACROSS, NOT ONLY THE CLINICAL, BUT
11	COMMERCIAL JOURNEY. THEY PROVIDE SERVICES TO
12	PATIENTS NOT ONLY ON THE CLINICAL SIDE, SUCH AS
13	TRIAL TRIAGE, INFORMATION AND ELIGIBILITY, FINANCIAL
14	SUPPORT, INCLUDING TRANSPORTATION, ALL OF THOSE
15	THINGS THAT WE TALKED ABOUT, INCLUDING ANCILLARY
16	EXPENSES, BUT THERE'S ADDITIONAL LAYERS OF SUPPORT
17	THAT ARE PROVIDED BY THESE SORT OF CONCIERGE PATIENT
18	SUPPORT SERVICES.
19	AND GENERALLY THEY STARTED OUT WITH MORE
20	OF THE RARE, ULTRA ORPHAN SPACE, BUT NOW 20 YEARS
21	LATER, I STILL USED THEM PREVIOUSLY IN MY INDUSTRY
22	TO MORE LARGER, MORE PREVALENT DISEASES THAT ARE OUT
23	THERE. SO IT USED TO BE VERY BOUTIQUE, NOW CAN BE
24	SCALED TO MORE PREVALENT DISEASES.
25	THERE'S A NUMBER OF THINGS YOU CAN DO. I

1	DO HAVE TO SAY THIS IS THE CADILLAC VERSION. SO
2	THERE'S A NUMBER OF STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES. ONE,
3	EVERY PATIENT WOULD GET A CASE NAVIGATOR APPROACH.
4	AND MANY OF YOU PROBABLY HAVE EXPERIENCED THAT.
5	THEY ARE SCALABLE, TURNKEY OPERATIONS. THESE ARE
6	STATE OF THE ART TELEHEALTH SOFTWARE. MOST OF THE
7	NURSES HAVE BEEN TRAINED IN CLINICAL TRIALS AT LEAST
8	FIVE TO TEN YEARS. SO THEY UNDERSTAND THAT PATIENT
9	JOURNEY ALL THE WAY FROM PHASE 1 TO PHASE 3 AND THEN
10	OVER TO COMMERCIALIZATION. THEY'RE COMPLIANT, OF
11	COURSE, WITH STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS. THE
12	SPEED, WHAT WE CALL THE SPEED OF CASE HANDLING AND
13	PROCESSING IS REALLY TO BE UNMATCHED WHEN IT COMES
14	TO ANYTHING ELSE THAT'S OUT THERE. THEY PROVIDE
15	ROBUST ANALYTICS, SO SOMEBODY WHO'S AS GEEKY AS
16	MYSELF WHO IS CONSTANTLY WATCHING CALL CENTERS
17	THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, JUST CONSTANTLY MONITORING
18	CHANGE AND SEEING HOW WE CAN IMPROVE THE PROCESS,
19	RIGHT. HOW CAN WE IMPROVE PATIENTS, WHETHER IT'S
20	SIMPLY THE SPEED AT WHICH WE CAN GET THEM REIMBURSED
21	BY SOME OF THOSE COSTS OR THE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
22	THAT THEY NEED FROM THE CLINICIAN, ET CETERA.
23	THE WEAKNESSES ARE, AND I DON'T KNOW
24	IF IT'S A WEAKNESS. THIS IS PROBABLY MORE OF
25	A CHALLENGE. ONE IS THERE'S A RAMP-UP TIME. SO

1	THERE'S A BIG DEMAND FOR EVERY BIOTECH COMPANY. AND
2	LET ME PAUSE HERE. NO BIOTECH COMPANY NOW WILL
3	LAUNCH A MARKETED AUTHORIZED PRODUCT IN THE UNITED
4	STATES WITHOUT PATIENT SUPPORT SERVICES. AND WHAT
5	YOU'RE SEEING, NO DIFFERENT THAN WHAT I'M PROPOSING
6	HERE, IS COMPANIES ARE TAKING THESE PROGRAMS AND
7	THEY'RE STARTING THEM EARLIER IN THE DRUG
8	DEVELOPMENT PROCESS, ALL THE WAY, AGAIN, TO PHASE 1,
9	PHASE 2. SO THAT WHOLE PATIENT JOURNEY THEY
LO	UNDERSTAND. RIGHT.
L1	THERE IS A HIGH DEMAND. THERE'S RAMP-UP
L2	TIME. NOTHING I HAVEN'T DONE IN THE PAST. THERE
L3	ARE SOME COSTS ASSOCIATED. BUT THIS WOULD BE
L4	CONSIDERED ONE OF THE CADILLAC PROPOSALS THAT WE
L5	WOULD PRESENT TO THE TEAM TODAY.
L6	LET ME PAUSE THERE JUST TO SEE IF THERE'S
L7	ANY QUESTIONS BEFORE I GO INTO CURTAIN NO. 2.
L8	CHAIRMAN TORRES: SEEING NONE.
L9	DR. TURBEVILLE: SEEING NONE. OKAY.
20	THANK YOU. BEFORE I DO THAT, LET ME JUST SHOW YOU
21	SOME OF THE METRICS THAT WOULD BE REPORTED ON THESE
22	CALL CENTERS. SO THIS IS REAL-TIME STUFF. AS I
23	MENTIONED, AT LEAST IN MEDICAL AFFAIRS, WE METRIC
24	EVERYTHING, RIGHT. WHO IS CALLING, WHY THEY'RE
25	CALLING, HOW IMPACTFUL IS OUR PROGRAM, WHERE CAN WE

1	IMPROVE THINGS? SO WHAT'S NICE ABOUT THESE PROGRAMS
2	IS THEY HAVE PUT LOTS OF CFR 21 VALIDATED SYSTEMS IN
3	THESE INSTITUTIONS, WHICH PROBABLY MEANS NOT A WHOLE
4	LOT TO THIS TEAM, BUT FOR SOMEBODY WHO USED TO BE IN
5	THE INDUSTRY, IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. BUT IT ALLOWS
6	US TO BENCHMARK. IT ALLOWS US TO TEST THINGS. IT
7	ALLOWS US TO HAVE THE METRICS TO ACTUALLY MAKE
8	IMPROVEMENT AND COME BACK TO YOU GUYS SAYING, HEY,
9	LOOK. HERE'S BENCH LINE, RIGHT, HERE'S T ZERO, AND
10	HERE'S WHERE WE ARE NOW SIX, SEVEN MONTHS LATER.
11	HERE'S THE IMPACT. OR, TO BE FAIR, HERE'S WHERE WE
12	MISSED THE MARK. RIGHT? SO THAT'S WHAT'S UNIQUE
13	ABOUT THESE PARTICULAR PROGRAMS.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART, HARLAN HAS HIS HAND
15	RAISED.
16	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. HARLAN, NICE
17	TO HAVE YOU WITH US. WE APPRECIATE IT.
18	DR. LEVINE: OF COURSE, HAPPY TO BE HERE,
19	SENATOR. SO THANK YOU.
20	I THINK, IF I'M UNDERSTANDING THE
21	DESCRIPTION, THESE ARE THE TYPES OF PROGRAMS THAT
22	SPECIALTY PHARMACY COMPANIES STARTED HAVING TO MAKE
23	SURE THEIR DRUGS WERE TAKEN, PEOPLE KNEW HOW TO DEAL
24	WITH SIDE EFFECTS, AND THEN COMPLIANCE. IT'S
25	SPANNED OUT SIGNIFICANTLY. SO ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK

1	AT THIS IS THERE ARE DISEASE MANAGEMENT AND CARE
2	MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS WITHIN THE HEALTHPLANS AND
3	BOUTIQUE COMPANIES. AND ONE IN PARTICULAR, AND I
4	DON'T KNOW THIS FIELD AS WELL AS I KNOW THE REST OF
5	THE PAYOR INDUSTRY, BUT THE MEDI-CAL PROGRAMS WILL
6	HAVE PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRAMS TOO. AND IT MAY BE
7	ANOTHER OPTION OTHER THAN GOING TO BIOTECH, BUT
8	GIVEN THE POPULATION THAT WE ARE TRYING TO SERVE,
9	THEY MAY HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF THE SOCIAL
10	DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH AND ALSO THE INSURANCE
11	PARAMETERS AND HOOPS THAT PEOPLE HAVE TO HOP THROUGH
12	TO GET ON THESE PROGRAMS.
13	SO I WANTED TO JUST AUGMENT THAT THIS MAY
14	NOT HAVE TO BE THE BIOTECH INDUSTRY. IT COULD BE
15	THE HEALTH SERVICES INDUSTRY AND MEDI-CAL PROGRAMS
16	THAT MIGHT HAVE FOUNDATIONAL PROGRAMS THAT COULD BE
17	MODIFIED TO SUPPORT THE PATIENTS THROUGH THIS
18	JOURNEY.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRES: EXCELLENT POINT.
20	DR. TURBEVILLE: I'M GOING TO RESPOND TO
21	THAT IF THAT'S OKAY. SO I APOLOGIZE. THIS IS NOT
22	OWNED BY BIOTECH. THESE ARE THIRD-PARTY SERVICE
23	PROVIDERS WHO BIOTECH CONTRACTS WITH. SO THEY'RE
24	NOT IN-HOUSE BY ANY MEANS. THESE ARE EXTERNAL
25	COMPANIES. AND QUITE FRANKLY, THE SMALL GUYS ARE

1	GETTING BOUGHT UP SO QUICK BY THE BIG AMERISERVES
2	BECAUSE THIS STUFF REALLY DOES MOVE MOUNTAINS FOR
3	PATIENTS. YEAH. LET ME MAKE THAT REALLY CLEAR,
4	THAT THIS IS NOT OWNED BY BIOTECHS. SOMETIMES THEY
5	DO TRY TO INTERNALIZE THE SYSTEMS, AND I'VE TRIED TO
6	DO THAT, BUT MOSTLY THEY'RE CONTRACTING OUT TO THIRD
7	PARTIES.
8	DR. LEVINE: SEAN, LET ME JUST COMMENT TO
9	CLARIFY. I AGREE WITH YOUR SECOND POINT, THAT
10	THEY'RE GETTING BOUGHT UP SO THERE WOULD BE FEWER
11	AND HARDER TO FIND. MY POINT IS THAT THERE ARE
12	ALSO I DID UNDERSTAND THAT FOR THE MOST PART
13	THEY'RE THIRD PARTY. THEY STARTED INTERNAL AND THEN
14	HAVE MOVED OUT, BUT THERE ARE THIRD PARTIES THAT
15	FOCUS NOT ON BIOTECH, BUT FOCUS ON THE HEALTH
16	SERVICES INDUSTRY THAT MIGHT ALSO BE PART OF THE
17	POOL THAT WE LOOK AT IF WE GO THAT DIRECTION.
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: FAIR ENOUGH. THAT'S
19	HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WE GOT TO MOVE ALONG
21	HERE.
22	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. SO THE SECOND
23	CURTAIN, IF YOU WILL, IS THE ADVOCACY/CHARITABLE
24	ORGANIZATION MODEL. AND I'VE WORKED WITH THEM AS
25	WELL. MANY OF YOU HERE ARE ADVOCACY GROUPS WHO ARE

1	FAMILIAR WITH THIS. BASICALLY IT'S THE SAME
2	SERVICES, RIGHT. THEY PROVIDE A NUMBER OF SERVICES
3	TO PATIENTS WHO ARE INVOLVED IN PARTICULAR CLINICAL
4	TRIALS, WHETHER IT'S DISEASE EDUCATION,
5	TRANSPORTATION. I'VE LISTED JUST A RANDOM SAMPLE OF
6	ONES, RIGHT, THAT I'VE WORKED WITH IN THE PAST. NO
7	DISRESPECT TO ANYBODY ON THE CALL THAT I DIDN'T
8	LIST. IT TRULY IS A RANDOM SAMPLE.
9	THEY DO HAVE THEIR STRENGTHS AND
10	WEAKNESSES. I WOULD POINT OUT ONE, FOR EXAMPLE, THE
11	ASSISTANCE FUND. THAT'S TAF. THEY ARE WELL-KNOWN
12	IN THE THIRD-PARTY INDUSTRY IN SUPPORTING PATIENTS
13	THROUGH NOT ONLY CLINICAL, MORE SO COMMERCIAL, THAT
14	IS, TAKING PATIENTS FROM THE CLINICAL TO THE
15	COMMERCIAL SIDE, BUT THEY'RE PUTTING A LOT OF
16	EFFORTS INTO THAT EARLIER STAGE. JUST TO GIVE YOU
17	AN IDEA, THEY PROBABLY RECEIVE AT LEAST \$300 MILLION
18	OF DONATED MONEY FROM NOT ONLY INDUSTRY, BUT OTHER
19	THIRD-PARTY PARTIES THAT AND IT'S HANDS OFF.
20	RIGHT? THAT'S THE WAY THE REGS ARE. THEY DO HAVE
21	FAIRLY SOPHISTICATED OPERATIONS. IT WOULD BE ONE
22	COMPANY THAT WE WOULD LOOK AT TO SEE IF IT WAS
23	POTENTIALLY A GOOD FIT AND BRING IT BACK TO THE AAWG
24	FOR INPUT.
25	NOW THE STRENGTHS. THESE TWO PROVIDE CASE

1	MANAGEMENT APPROACH. THERE ARE SOME TURNKEY
2	OPERATIONS. THEY ARE COMPLIANT. THEY DO HAVE
3	DISEASE-SPECIFIC FUNDING AND EDUCATION. AND THAT
4	COULD BE A GREAT THING; BUT IF YOU THINK ABOUT OUR
5	PORTFOLIO OF TRIALS, WE ARE ALL OVER THE WE HAVE
6	A DIVERSE SET.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRES: RIGHT. RIGHT.
8	DR. TURBEVILLE: RIGHT. VERY DIVERSE,
9	RIGHT, FROM CARDIOVASCULAR TO HIV TO DMD, ET CETERA,
10	ALL WITH DIFFERENT NEEDS. SO THAT'S ONE OF THE
11	WEAKNESSES HERE IN THE SENSE THAT MOST OF THEM ARE
12	DISEASE CENTRIC. AND SO IF THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
13	WE DECIDE TO DO, WE WOULD HAVE TO REALLY CONSIDER
14	HOW CAN WE MAKE OUR CONTRIBUTIONS TO SPECIFIC
15	DISEASES THAT MET, AT LEAST FOR THE MOST PART, OUR
16	PORTFOLIO.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I DON'T SEE ANY HAND UP,
18	SO WE'LL MOVE ALONG, SEAN.
19	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. GREAT. AND THEN
20	THERE'S ACADEMIC CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. SO THIS IS
21	THE THIRD MODEL. SO ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS PROVIDE
22	THESE SERVICES AS WELL. GENERALLY WE PROVIDE OR
23	ANOTHER ORGANIZATION PROVIDES A GRANT, THE CRO
24	PROVIDES A GRANT, OR THE CRO TAKES CARE OF THIS
25	COMPONENT, BUT IT'S BASICALLY THE SAME THING.

1	RIGHT. IT'S MUCH SCALED DOWN. THE VOLUME IS HIGH.
2	THE SCALE IS WELL, LET'S JUST PUT IT THIS WAY.
3	IT'S VERY DIFFICULT FOR MANY ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS
4	THE UCSF'S OF THE WORLD OR OTHER UC SYSTEMS TO TAKE
5	CARE OF EVERYTHING THAT'S REQUIRED OF THE PATIENTS.
6	AND QUITE FRANKLY, THEY DO CONTRACT OUT WITH THIRD
7	PARTIES, NOT UNCOMMON, RIGHT, TO TAKE CARE OF THESE
8	SERVICES. NONETHELESS, IT IS IMPACTFUL FOR
9	PATIENTS. IT CAN WORK.
10	THE STRENGTHS ARE THAT THEY KNOW THE
11	PATIENTS. THEY KNOW ABOUT CLINICAL TRIALS. SO THAT
12	SAVES SIGNIFICANT TIME.
13	THE WEAKNESSES ARE, OF COURSE, WELL,
14	THEY'RE LIMITED IN SCALE. THEY'RE LIMITED IN TIME.
15	AND WHEN YOU START THINKING ABOUT THE METRICS THAT I
16	TALKED ABOUT EARLIER, IT'S KIND OF HARD TO
17	CONCATENATE ALL THAT METRICS SO THAT WE AS AN
18	ORGANIZATION CAN SEE WHERE WE ARE MAKING AN IMPACT.
19	NEVERTHELESS, IT DOES HELP. IT DOES WORK FOR
20	PATIENTS.
21	ANY QUESTIONS THERE? GOOD. OKAY. WELL,
22	I'VE DESCRIBED THREE METHODOLOGIES. THERE'S
23	ACTUALLY A FOURTH WHICH ISN'T A METHODOLOGY IN
24	ITSELF, BUT IT'S OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES. SO IF
25	YOU BENCHMARK THIS STUFF TO PROGRAMS OUTSIDE OF EU,

1	THERE ARE UNIQUE PROGRAMS OVER THERE AS WELL. MORE
2	THAN HAPPY TO TALK ABOUT THOSE OFF LINE.
3	NOW, HERE IS A FIVE-YEAR, REMEMBER WE
4	MENTIONED ABOUT THE FIVE-YEAR TIMELINE FOR THE
5	INITIAL 15.6 ALLOCATION.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: JUST KEEP IN MIND THAT
7	NO FUNDS CAN BE SPENT OUTSIDE THE STATE OF
8	CALIFORNIA.
9	DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT'S RIGHT. THANK YOU,
10	SIR.
11	SO THIS COULD BE MODIFIED TO SOME EXTENT,
12	BUT THE WAY WE ARE APPROACHING THIS IS ONE YEAR.
13	YEAR ONE WOULD BE DISCOVERY. THAT IS, BASED ON YOUR
14	GUIDANCE, WE WOULD TAKE THAT TO THE ICOC, GET THEIR
15	GREEN LIGHT, WE WOULD DETERMINE THE MODEL, AND THEN
16	EMPLOY THE MODEL, AT THE SAME TIME DO A GAP
17	ANALYSIS. SO THERE'S A LOT OF INFORMATION OUT THERE
18	THAT WE ARE NOT SEEING IN TERMS OF WHAT PATIENTS ARE
19	EXPOSED TO, WHAT THEY'RE NOT. SO DO A GAP ANALYSIS
20	WHERE WE CAN FIND SYNERGIES, WHERE THERE'S OVERLAP,
21	AND WE TAKE CARE OF THAT. WE WOULD IMPLEMENT BASIC
22	SERVICES AND THEN START MONITORING THIS. AND THIS
23	IS SCALABLE DEPENDING ON WHICH MODEL WE CHOOSE.
24	SO EVERY YEAR WE'D BE ABLE TO COME BACK TO
25	YOU AS WELL AS THE ICOC WITH NEW METRICS ON WHERE WE

1	SEE TRENDS AND WHERE WE SEE THINGS THAT WE CAN
2	IMPROVE FOR PATIENTS WHO ARE TRYING TO ENROLL IN
3	THESE TRIALS.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRES: SO ON THAT ISSUE, WHY DO
5	WE HAVE TO CHOOSE ONE APPROACH OR ANOTHER?
6	SHOULDN'T WE JUST DETERMINE THAT WE WILL PUT AN RFP
7	OUT THERE, AND WHOEVER APPLIED, AT THAT POINT WE
8	DETERMINE WHICH IS THE BEST APPROACH?
9	DR. TURBEVILLE: SO ABSOLUTELY. SO THANK
10	YOU FOR BACKING ME UP. SO, YES, BASED ON YOUR
11	GUIDANCE AND THE ICOC, WE WOULD DETERMINE WHAT THAT
12	RFP WOULD LOOK LIKE. AND THAT RFP WOULD GO OUT
13	THERE, CORRECT.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRES: SO WE ARE NOT REQUIRED
15	TODAY TO CHOOSE AN APPROACH OR A MODEL. WE ARE ONLY
16	TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE WANT THE BOARD TO MOVE FORWARD
17	ON AS WE MOVE TOWARD AN RFP, CORRECT?
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT'S CORRECT.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OKAY. I JUST WANTED TO
20	MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY KNEW JUST WHAT IS ON THE
21	TABLE BECAUSE WE ARE ON SUCH A NEW GROUND HERE, NEW
22	SPACE HERE IN RESPECT TO THESE ISSUES BECAUSE THIS
23	WASN'T PART OF THE INITIAL INITIATIVE IN 2004. IT
24	IS NEW AND UNIQUE TO THIS INITIATIVE AND, QUITE
25	FRANKLY, I THINK UNIQUE AS A STATE IN THE NATION AS

1	TO HOW WE PROCEED.
2	DR. TURBEVILLE: ABSOLUTELY. THANK YOU
3	FOR THAT, SENATOR.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ART, J.T. HERE.
5	CHAIRMAN TORRES: MR. CHAIRMAN, YES.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: SO THANK YOU, SENATOR.
7	I JUST WANT TO MAKE WHAT'S PERHAPS A VERY OBVIOUS
8	POINT AS ALL OF YOU ARE CONSIDERING THESE VARIOUS
9	MODELS, THAT IN CASE YOU'RE NOT ENTIRELY AND FULLY
10	FAMILIAR WITH WHAT CIRM DOES, WE ARE NOT INVOLVED AT
11	ALL IN THE PATIENT RECRUITMENT. WE JUST FUND THE
12	TRIALS, AND OBVIOUSLY IT'S UP TO THE INSTITUTIONS
13	THAT ARE WORKING ON THE PROJECTS AND THE CLINICAL
14	TRIAL SITES THEMSELVES, THE PEOPLE OPERATING THEM,
15	TO RECRUIT THE PATIENTS. WE HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH
16	THAT. AND, AGAIN, THAT MAY BE VERY OBVIOUS, BUT
17	JUST ON THE OFF CHANCE IT ISN'T, I WANTED TO MAKE
18	THAT POINT. THANK YOU, SENATOR.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THAT'S A GOOD POINT;
20	HOWEVER, WE DO POINT OUT, AS YOU WELL KNOW, J.T.,
21	BECAUSE YOU'VE BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THIS, OUR DEI
22	IN RESPECT TO POTENTIAL GRANTS AND HOW WE ENCOURAGE
23	GRANTEES TO REACH OUT TO DIVERSE AND UNDERSERVED
24	COMMUNITIES WITHOUT US PICKING THEM, BUT AT LEAST
25	GIVING THEM THE CHARGE THAT THEY NEED TO DO THAT.
	26

	·
1	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES, THAT'S EXACTLY
2	RIGHT, WHICH IS ALSO A VERY GOOD POINT. THANK YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: SEAN.
4	DR. TURBEVILLE: YES, SIR. THANK YOU. SO
5	YES, THIS IS JUST
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: I'M SORRY. THERE'S
7	ANOTHER HAND RAISED. DR. SENTHILL.
8	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WHO IS IT?
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DR. SENTHILL.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OH, DR. SENTHILL,
11	PLEASE.
12	DR. SENTHIL: THANKS, SENATOR TORRES. I
13	HAVE A QUESTION BECAUSE CIRM HAS NOW FUNDED SEVERAL
14	OF CLINICAL TRIALS. AND IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND THE
15	NEEDS OR THE CHALLENGES THAT CLINICAL TRIALS HAVE
16	FACED IN TERMS OF SERVING THE UNDERSERVED AND THEIR
17	CHALLENGES THAT THEY HAVE HAD IN RECRUITING THESE
18	PATIENTS, CAN WE GET SOME KNOWLEDGE AROUND THAT TO
19	BE ABLE TO INFORM US? WE HAD A LIST OF EXPENSES
20	THAT ARE COVERED, AND WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
21	WHAT IS THE BEST MODEL TO IMPROVE AFFORDABILITY AND
22	ACCESSIBILITY. BUT THAT DATA MIGHT ALREADY EXIST IN
23	TERMS OF WHAT ARE THE CURRENT CHALLENGES BASED ON
24	THE CLINICAL TRIALS THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE. IS
25	THERE ANY INFORMATION FROM THE RESEARCHERS OR THE
	27

1	RESEARCH UNITS THAT WE CAN GATHER TO BE ABLE TO
2	BETTER INFORM ABOUT OUR ACTIONS?
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES. BEFORE MARIA
4	MILLAN RESPONDS, AS SHE SHOULD, ON THIS ISSUE, IT'S
5	IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WHEN WE DO PUT OUT GRANTS IN
6	RESPECT TO CLINICAL TRIALS, WE SPECIFICALLY REVIEW
7	WHAT IS THEIR APPROACH TO DETERMINING THE
8	UNDERSERVED, WHAT IS THEIR APPROACH TO DETERMINING
9	MINORITY PARTICIPANTS SO THAT WE ARE ABLE SO THE
10	REVIEWERS ARE ABLE TO REVIEW JUST WHAT THEY'RE
11	PROPOSING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE KNOW THEY'RE MOVING
12	IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. MARIA.
13	DR. MILLAN: THANK YOU, SENATOR TORRES.
14	THANK YOU, DR. SENTHIL.
15	I THINK WHAT I WOULD SAY IS THAT WE HAVE
16	HAD ON THE GROUND EXPERIENCE AND HAVE HAD A CHANCE
17	TO GET INPUT SPECIFICALLY FROM OUR DIRECTORS OF OUR
18	ALPHA CLINICS NETWORK AND SOME PI'S FROM OUR GRANTS.
19	WE GET THAT INPUT BY WAY OF OUR CLINICAL ADVISORY
20	PANELS. WE GET THAT INPUT BY WAY OF DIRECT
21	COMMUNICATION WITH THE SCIENCE OFFICERS ON THE
22	DEVELOPMENT TEAM. WE GET THAT INPUT IN THE ALPHA
23	CLINICS DIRECTOR MEETINGS.
24	SO GENERALLY SPEAKING, THE TYPES OF
25	CHALLENGES THAT ARE BEING PRESENTED TODAY ARE KIND

1	OF PERVASIVE CHALLENGES ACROSS, THAT IT'S REALLY
2	HARD TO PINPOINT AND JUST SOLVE FOR EACH TRIAL.
3	SO I THINK THE KEY THING IS IDENTIFYING AN
4	APPROACH THAT WILL BE SOMETHING THAT KIND OF LIFTS
5	ALL BOATS AND PROVIDES A PATHWAY TO ACCESS TO THESE
6	CLINICAL TRIALS TO PATIENTS FROM UNDERSERVED
7	COMMUNITIES.
8	ONE OF THE RECENT KIND OF EXPERIENCES THAT
9	HAVE BEEN SHARED WITH US HAD COME DURING THE COVID,
10	WE ARE STILL OBVIOUSLY IN IT, BUT IN THE HEIGHT OF
11	THE COVID PANDEMIC, CIRM DID FUND A SPECIAL COVID
12	PROGRAM WHICH INCLUDED CLINICAL TRIALS AND INCLUDED
13	MULTI-INSTITUTION ATTEMPTS TO BRING IN UNDERSERVED
14	POPULATIONS, SPECIFICALLY, FOR INSTANCE, FOR AT THAT
15	TIME CONVALESCENT PLASMA FOR PATIENTS IN THE INLAND
16	EMPIRE, COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE CITY OF HOPE AND
17	IRVINE, FOR INSTANCE. SO WE GAINED A LOT OF INPUT
18	THERE BOTH FROM THE COMMUNITY SIDE, FROM THE PATIENT
19	SIDE, AND FROM THE CLINICAL TRIALISTS.
20	AND SO I THINK WHAT SEAN IS, I THINK,
21	PRESENTING TODAY, SOME OF THE ADVANTAGES THAT ARE
22	INCLUDED IN CREATING OR BEING ABLE TO COME UP WITH A
23	SYSTEM TO PROVIDE SOLUTIONS FOR PATIENTS IS ALSO
24	THAT IT WILL ALSO INFORM US MORE COMPLETELY. WE
25	ONLY HAVE BITS AND PIECES OF INFORMATION, BUT WE

1	DON'T HAVE THE COMPLETE LANDSCAPE, SIMPLY NOT
2	COLLECTED IN ANY TYPE OF ORGANIZED OR DELIBERATE
3	WAY. SO I THINK HAVING A SYSTEM THAT'S DEPLOYED,
4	NOT ONLY TO ASSIST PATIENTS, BUT GAIN REAL-WORLD
5	EVIDENCE AND INFORMATION AS IT'S BEING DONE, I
6	THINK, WILL BE EXTREMELY VALUABLE TO HELPING US
7	SOLVE SOME OF THESE HURDLES OR ADDRESS SOME OF THESE
8	HURDLES OR COME UP WITH NOVEL SOLUTIONS IN THE
9	FUTURE.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRES: AND ALSO I KNOW THAT
11	HARLAN KNOWS VERY WELL THE NATIVE TRIBES AND
12	RESERVATIONS THAT ARE PARTICULARLY ACCESSIBLE TO HIS
13	AREA AND TRIBAL LEADERS THAT I'VE SPOKEN TO OVER THE
14	LAST YEAR DURING COVID, IT'S ASTONISHING HOW MANY,
15	SENIORS ESPECIALLY, NATIVE AMERICANS WE LOST TO
16	COVID ON THE RESERVATION. SO THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN
17	IN THE FUTURE LIKELY PARTICIPANTS IN CLINICAL
18	TRIALS.
19	DANA, YOU HAD YOUR HAND UP?
20	DR. DORNSIFE: YES, THANK YOU, SENATOR.
21	JUST I REALIZE THE DOLLARS CAN'T BE SPENT OUTSIDE
22	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, BUT CAN THEY BE SPENT ON
23	PATIENTS COMING INTO THE STATE WHO ARE NOT
24	CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS AND PARTICIPATING IN TRIALS
25	HERE IN CALIFORNIA?

1	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THAT'S A VERY GOOD
2	QUESTION, AND I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER TO THAT.
3	DR. DORNSIFE: BECAUSE THAT WOULD REALLY
4	LIMIT THE POTENTIAL PATIENT PARTICIPANT POOL.
5	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES. BUT MY OWN OPINION
6	IS, GIVEN THE EXPERIENCE THAT I'VE HAD HERE, I DON'T
7	THINK WE ARE ANYWHERE NEAR EXHAUSTING THE PATIENT
8	POOL TO THE UNDERSERVED IN CALIFORNIA. AND A LOT OF
9	THAT EFFORT STILL NEEDS TO GO ON, BUT I WILL BE
10	HAPPY TO DO THAT RESEARCH, AND I WILL GET BACK TO
11	YOU, DANA.
12	HARLAN.
13	DR. LEVINE: THANK YOU, SENATOR. I WANT
14	TO ADD TO YOUR COMMENT. I THINK THERE'S A HUGE POOL
15	HERE. AND ONE THOUGHT I WOULD HAVE, LIKE IF YOU
16	HAVE SYSTEMIC, AND MARIA MADE THE SAME POINT, EACH
17	TRIAL WILL BE DIFFERENT. BUT I THINK FOR SOME OF
18	THESE CONDITIONS THAT ARE RELATIVELY RARE, YOU HAVE
19	TO BE PART OF THE ECOSYSTEM OF THE PATIENT'S
20	EXPERIENCE. OTHERWISE, IT ADDS ANOTHER HUGE HURDLE
21	TO GET INVOLVED. ONE-THIRD OF CALIFORNIANS ARE IN
22	THE MEDI-CAL PROGRAM. I WOULD SAY A HIGHER
23	REPRESENTATION OF THE UNDERSERVED ARE IN THE
24	MEDI-CAL PROGRAM.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES.

1	DR. LEVINE: WE HAVE TO FIND A WAY TO MAKE
2	IT PART OF THAT ECOSYSTEM TO IDENTIFY THESE PATIENTS
3	SO THEY CAN EVEN GET REFERRED IN THE FIRST PLACE.
4	AND I THINK, IN GENERAL, THE MEDI-CAL SYSTEM HAS
5	DONE A NICE JOB OF PROPPING UP GENERAL CARE FOR A
6	POPULATION, BUT IT'S NOT IN THE BUSINESS OF
7	IDENTIFYING EXTRAORDINARY INTERVENTIONS FOR HIGHLY
8	COMPLEX DISEASES. AND I THINK WE NEED TO IT'S
9	OUTSIDE THE SCOPE TODAY, SENATOR, I UNDERSTAND THAT,
10	BUT I THINK WE'RE GOING TO LOSE A HUGE POOL IF WE
11	DON'T THINK ABOUT HOW DO WE TAILOR RESOURCES TO HELP
12	SUPPORT THE MEDI-CAL MANAGED CARE PROGRAM TO
13	IDENTIFY THESE PATIENTS AND CONNECT THESE PATIENTS
14	INTO THE RESEARCH TRIALS.
15	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT.
16	AND I WANTED TO CALL ON JAMES DEBENEDETTI. DID YOU
17	HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD ON THIS BECAUSE I KNOW IN
18	COVERED CALIFORNIA, WHEN I SERVED ON THE BOARD, THAT
19	WE HAD A TREMENDOUS OUTREACH WORKING WITH MEDI-CAL
20	AND ALSO THE FACT THAT OUR CHAIR OF COVERED
21	CALIFORNIA IS THE SECRETARY FOR HEALTH AND HUMAN
22	SERVICES. JAMES.
23	MR. BENEDETTI: I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO
24	ADD. MEDI-CAL IS VERY IMPORTANT, AND WE ARE WORKING
25	WITH THEM AS CLOSELY AS WE CAN.

1	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OKAY. GREAT. I JUST
2	WANTED TO MAKE SURE PEOPLE KNEW THAT.
3	SOMEBODY ELSE'S HAND WAS JUST UP, I THINK.
4	NO. I THINK WE ARE FINE. OKAY. SEAN, YOU WANT TO
5	CONTINUE.
6	DR. TURBEVILLE: I THINK ADRIENNE HAD HER
7	HAND UP.
8	CHAIRMAN TORRES: OH, ADRIENNE. I'M
9	SORRY.
10	MS. SHAPIRO: YES, I DID. THANK YOU. SO
11	I THINK THAT I HAVE TO BRING THIS UP. WHEN WE'RE
12	TALKING ABOUT POPULATIONS LIKE MINE, WHICH IS
13	AFRICAN-AMERICAN, AND MY DAUGHTER IS PART OF THE
14	AFRO-LATINO POPULATION AND WAS DISABLED, WE REALLY
15	HAVE TO LOOK AT HOW CAN I PUT THIS? AGAIN, THAT
16	POPULATION THAT'S COVERED BY MEDI-CAL, IN MY BOOTS
17	ON THE GROUND WORK, WE FIND THAT PATIENTS WHO ARE
18	UNDER THE MANAGED CARE AND WHO ARE ON THOSE PROGRAMS
19	FAIR FAR WORSE THAN OUR PATIENTS WHO ARE UNDER OTHER
20	PROGRAMS, AND THEY ARE REALLY STRETCHED. THERE
21	ISN'T A REAL MEANS FOR OUTREACH AS FAR AS FOLLOWING
22	AND LOOKING AFTER PATIENTS.
23	AND ALSO BECAUSE, AS WE'RE GOING INTO
24	THESE CARE PLANS, WE ARE FINDING FEWER AND FEWER
25	PLACES THAT ACTUALLY HAVE THE EXPERTISE THAT WE NEED

1	OR THE HOSPITALS ARE BECOMING LIMITED WHERE PATIENTS
2	CAN GO FOR CARE. SO I JUST NEED FOR US TO KEEP THAT
3	IN MIND.
4	AND THE OTHER THING IS REALLY WHEN WE LOOK
5	AT CULTURALLY WHAT SOME OF THE BARRIERS ARE OR
6	CAVEATS ARE, IT IS NOT THAT WE DON'T TRUST SCIENCE.
7	IT'S THAT WHEN WE GO FOR MEDICAL CARE, WE ARE
8	GENERALLY TREATED UNFAIRLY. I'M GOING TO SAY THAT.
9	I MEAN IT'S ALL DOCUMENTED AND EVERYTHING. AND SO
10	EARNING TRUST AND DOING SOMETHING NEW AND UNFOUNDED,
11	I GUESS, IN CLINICAL TRIALS AND BEING TOLD THAT YOU
12	ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DEPEND ON THESE MEDICAL
13	INSTITUTIONS WHICH HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLETELY, I'M
14	GOING TO SAY, FAIR OR EQUITABLE FOR YOU IS A HUGE, A
15	HUGE LEAP, RIGHT, IN REALITY. AND SO I GET A LITTLE
16	BIT NERVOUS WHEN I HEAR SOME OF THIS.
17	I KNOW WE'RE GOING TO DO IN THIS PERIOD OF
18	TIME, BUT IN THIS PERIOD WHERE WE ARE ACTUALLY OUR
19	DISCOVERY, I REALLY HOPE THAT WE DO A DEEP DIVE INTO
20	OUTCOMES THAT PEOPLE ARE HAVING NOW AND THINKING
21	ABOUT HOW THAT WILL TRANSLATE INTO WHAT COULD BE IN
22	THE FUTURE.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU, ADRIENNE.
24	ANY OTHER HANDS UP THAT I CAN'T SEE? ALL
25	RIGHT. SEAN, YOU WANT TO WRAP IT UP?

1	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH, CERTAINLY. THERE'S
2	THE SLIDE.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: GOOD TIMING.
4	DR. TURBEVILLE: THANK YOU FOR THE
5	OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT AND VERY STIMULATING IDEAS.
6	I AM GOING TO OPINE A LITTLE BIT HERE. ONE, I THINK
7	WE ARE IN A POSITION AT CIRM AND EVERYBODY ON THE
8	BOARD HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY CONSIDER A FIRST
9	IN CLASS, IF YOU WILL, BEST IN CLASS PATIENT SUPPORT
10	SERVICES FOR CIRM AND EVEN A BENCHMARK FOR PERHAPS
11	DOWN THE ROAD FOR ALL CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS
12	REGARDLESS OF THE THERAPY. SO IT'S A UNIQUE
13	OPPORTUNITY, AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL THE
14	INPUT AND THE ABILITY TO PRESENT TODAY. THANK YOU.
15	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU.
16	MARIA, I WANTED TO ASK YOU FIRST, MILLAN,
17	GIVEN WHAT YOU HEARD TODAY, I STILL THINK THAT WE
18	NEED TO MOVE FORWARD TO HAVE THE BOARD APPROVE OUR
19	ABILITY TO ISSUE AN RFP AND SEE WHAT'S OUT THERE.
20	WHAT MAY COME BACK MAY BE A COMBINATION OF ALL THESE
21	THREE ALTERNATIVES. I DON'T KNOW. SO I JUST WANTED
22	TO GET YOUR INPUT.
23	DR. MILLAN: I THINK THAT WHEN SEAN
24	STARTED THE MEETING, HE ARTICULATED THAT WHAT WE
25	HOPE TO GET OUT OF TODAY'S MEETING IS AN INDICATION

1	FROM THE AAWG IN TERMS OF THE FOCUS, SCOPE,
2	GENERALLY SPEAKING, THAT WE CAN BUILD AN RFP AROUND
3	AND CERTAIN KIND OF JUST IDEAS, NOT IN DETAIL, BUT
4	IDEAS OF WHAT CAPABILITIES AND ATTRIBUTES THAT THE
5	AAWG FEEL WOULD BE IMPORTANT TO INCLUDE IN AN RFP
6	THAT WOULD BRING IN ORGANIZATIONS, AND WE DON'T MEAN
7	TO LIMIT IT TO ANY SPECIFIC TYPE OF ORGANIZATION,
8	BUT MERELY LIMIT TO I MEAN MERELY PUT IT OUT
9	THERE SO WE CAN ATTRACT THE BEST PROPOSALS ACCORDING
10	TO THE SCOPE, WHICH WE'LL DEVELOP FURTHER, AND THE
11	PRIORITIES THAT WE HOPE THAT AFTER TODAY'S
12	PRESENTATION, THERE WERE SOME LISTS THERE IN TERMS
13	OF WHAT TYPES OF ASSISTANCE, ET CETERA, AND WHAT
14	TYPE OF CAPABILITIES. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S
15	GOING TO BE TIME. I THINK WE HAVE THREE MINUTES; IS
16	THAT RIGHT, OR WE HAVE A HALF HOUR.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRES: NO, WE HAVE A HALF HOUR
18	LEFT. I JUST DIDN'T WANT
19	DR. MILLAN: THAT WOULD BE GREAT IF THE
20	AAWG FEELS THAT THEY HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TODAY
21	OR HAVE ENOUGH OF A BASE TO GIVE THE CIRM TEAM SOME
22	RECOMMENDATIONS OF WHAT WE SHOULD PRIORITIZE. SEAN
23	AND THE GROUP WILL THEN TAKE ALL THAT AND CREATE A
24	DRAFT FOR THE AAWG TO TAKE A LOOK AT. AND WHEN IT'S
25	AT A POINT THAT IS SUITABLE TO BRING TO THE ICOC,

1	THEN THAT WOULD BE THE CONCEPT PROPOSAL THAT WOULD
2	SET THE PARAMETERS AND THE SCOPE AROUND THE RFP THAT
3	WOULD BE BROUGHT TO OUR BOARD FOR APPROVAL. AND
4	THEN THE TEAM CAN GO TO WORK IN TERMS OF
5	IMPLEMENTATION.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. MARIA, WHEN
7	IS OUR NEXT BOARD MEETING?
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: OUR NEXT BOARD MEETING IS
9	AT THE END OF JUNE. WE MEET AS A FULL BOARD.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WHAT I'D LIKE TO PROCEED
11	UPON IS NOT TO TAKE ANY ACTIONS TODAY, BUT RATHER TO
12	LEAVE THE DOOR OPEN FOR ALL OF OUR MEMBERS, OF WHICH
13	THERE ARE 17, TO COME FORWARD WITH ANY SUGGESTIONS
14	YOU HAVE IN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS THAT YOU WOULD WANT
15	TO SEE ADDED TO THESE PROPOSALS. AND THEN HAVE THE
16	TEAM COME BACK TO US AS A WORKING GROUP IN A VERY
17	SHORT MEETING JUST TO LOOK AT THE PROPOSALS THAT
18	THEY'RE LOOKING AT SO WE CAN APPROVE IT BECAUSE I DO
19	NOT WANT TO SEE ANYTHING GO TO THE FULL BOARD
20	WITHOUT THIS WORKING GROUP'S APPROVAL AND INPUT
21	BECAUSE THAT'S THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF WHY YOU EXIST.
22	AND SO
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: WE HAVE ANOTHER AAWG

1	MEETING IN JUNE PRIOR TO THE BOARD MEETING. SO THAT
2	COULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU ALL TO MEET AGAIN.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: RIGHT. PERFECT. BUT AS
4	LONG AS WE HAVE SOME SUGGESTIONS, I THINK THE
5	TWO-WEEK PERIOD IS LONG ENOUGH FOR YOU ALL TO DIGEST
6	WHAT YOU HEARD TODAY AND THEN COME UP WITH ANY IDEAS
7	THAT YOU WANT TO SEND TO US THAT YOU THINK OUGHT TO
8	BE INCLUDED OR EXPANDED, AND THEN THAT WILL BE TAKEN
9	AND BROUGHT TO THE JUNE MEETING OF OUR GROUP BY THE
10	TEAM. AND THEN AT THAT POINT WE'LL VOTE ON WHAT TO
11	RECOMMEND TO THE FULL BOARD FOR APPROVAL. DOES THAT
12	SOUND OKAY TO EVERYONE? I TAKE SILENCE AS CONSENT.
13	(MULTIPLE YES RESPONSES.)
14	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. ARE THERE
15	ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT WE HAVE NOT TAKEN INTO
16	ACCOUNT? ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OUT
17	THERE?
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE ARE NO HANDS
19	RAISED.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRES: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I
21	THINK WE ARE DONE UNLESS SOMEBODY ELSE HAS SOMETHING
22	TO ADD. ALL RIGHT.
23	AS CHAIR I'LL EXERCISE THE OPTION TO
24	ADJOURN THIS MEETING. THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING HERE
25	AND FOR YOUR TIME ESPECIALLY.

```
1
                MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU, EVERYONE.
 2
                CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU, SEAN. THANK
     YOU, MARIA.
 3
 4
                      (THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                49
```

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY 17, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543